

Message Text

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 01 BONN 03795 01 OF 04 021853Z
ACTION L-03

INFO OCT-01 EUR-12 ISO-00 SCA-01 JUSE-00 CIAE-00 INR-07
NSAE-00 PPT-01 DEAE-00 SNM-02 DHA-02 /029 W
-----021950 116959 /44
R 021839Z MAR 77
FM AMEMBASSY BONN
TO SECSTATE WASHDC 6089
INFO USMISSION USBERLIN

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE SECTION 01 OF 04 BONN 03795

E.O. 11652: N/A
TAGS: PFOR, GW, US
SUBJECT: US-FRG EXTRADITION TREATY

REFS: (A) BONN A-443 (17 NOV 75)
(B) STATE 29622 (NOTAL)
(C) STATE 29621

SUMMARY: AT US/FRG NEGOTIATING SESSION FEB 24, SUB-
STANTIAL PROGRESS WAS ACHIEVED ON TEXT OF NEW US-FRG
EXTRADITION TREATY, AND ONLY A FEW ISSUES OF ANY
SUBSTANCE, INCLUDING BERLIN CLAUSE (SEE PARA 11
BELOW), REMAIN STILL TO BE DISCUSSED. END SUMMARY

1. AT NEGOTIATING SESSION FEB 24 BETWEEN EMBOFF AND
REPS OF FRG JUSTICE MINISTRY (POETZ AND WALTER), EMBOFF
CONVEYED US REACTIONS PROVIDED FOR IN REFTEL B TO FRG
SUGGESTIONS REPORTED REFERENCED AIRGRAM.

2. EMBASSY IS TRANSMITTING BY AIRGRAM
A NEWLY TYPED TEXT OF THE AGREEMENT, WHICH
BRACKETS ONLY ARTICLE 8(B) AND BERLIN CLAUSE (NOW
ARTICLE 33, AFTER INSERTION AS ARTICLE 18 OF SIMPLIFIED
EXTRADITION PROVISION). FRG HAS, IN PARTICULAR,
LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 02 BONN 03795 01 OF 04 021853Z

ACCEPTED TEXT OF ARTICLES 1 AND 7 WITHOUT CHANGES,
THEREBY, HOPEFULLY DEFINITELY, GIVING UP ON THEIR
LONG STANDING EFFORTS IN THIS REGARD.

3. THERE FOLLOWS DISCUSSION OF ONLY THOSE ARTICLES
THAT REMAIN BRACKETED OR ON WHICH LANGUAGE TENTATIVELY
AGREED ON FEB 24 DIFFERS FROM TEXT AS FOUND ACCEPTABLE

OR PROPOSED IN REFTEL B.

4. ARTICLE 2(1): FRG SIDE REQUESTED THAT (5) OF THIS ARTICLE BE MADE SECOND SENTENCE OF (1) OF ARTICLE 2. SECOND SENTENCE OF 2(1) WOULD THUS READ: "IN THIS CONNECTION, IT SHALL NOT MATTER WHETHER OR NOT THE LAWS OF THE CONTRACTING PARTIES PLACE THE OFFENSE WITHIN THE SAME CATEGORY OF OFFENSE OR DENOMINATE AN OFFENSE BY THE SAME TERMINOLOGY." PRIMARY PURPOSE WAS TO REMOVE IMPRESSION THAT THIS LANGUAGE WAS AN AFTER-THOUGHT, MAKING IT CLEARER BY INCORPORATION OF THIS PROVISION INTO THE SUBPARAGRAPH REFERRING TO THE APPENDED LIST OF OFFENSES THAT THE LIST WAS TO BE READ IN LIGHT OF THIS PROVISION. IN VIEW OF ABSENCE OF INTENT TO CHANGE SUBSTANCE, AND IMPORTANCE ATTACHED BY FRG SIDE TO THIS OPTICAL CHANGE AFTER EMBOFF REITERATION OF US INTENT IN OFFENSE NO. 33, EMBOFF TENTATIVELY AGREED THERETO.

5. ARTICLE 2(2)(B): GERMAN SIDE, IN INTEREST OF PARALLEL CONSTRUCTION WITH ARTICLE 2(2)(A), AND TO EMPHASIZE THAT SEVERAL SUCH PENALTIES COULD BE TOTALED UP, WORKED OUT FOLLOWING SLIGHTLY CHANGED WORDING FOR THIS SUBPARAGRAPH: "FOR THE ENFORCEMENT OF A PENALTY OR A DETENTION ORDER, IF THE DURATION OF THE PENALTY OR DETENTION ORDER STILL TO BE SERVED, OR WHEN, IN THE AGGREGATE, SEVERAL SUCH PENALTIES OR DETENTION ORDERS STILL TO BE SERVED, AMOUNT TO AT LEAST SIX MONTHS."
LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 03 BONN 03795 01 OF 04 021853Z

6. ARTICLE 4(3): AFTER AGREEING TO RETENTION OF ARTICLE 4(2), GERMAN SIDE AGREED RE (3) TO US PROPOSAL FOR AGREED NEGOTIATING MINUTE NAMING SPECIFIC CONVENTIONS LISTED IN PARA 5 OF REFTEL B. COULD DEPT PROVIDE EXAMPLE OF SUCH NEGOTIATING MINUTE FOR GUIDANCE AS TO FORM? WITH RESPECT TO SUBPARAGRAPH (3)(A), DISCUSSION AROSE WHETHER MURDER OR OTHER WILLFUL CRIME SHOULD NOT EXPRESSLY BE REQUIRED TO BE PUNISHABLE UNDER THE LAWS OF ONE OR OTHER OR BOTH CONTRACTING PARTIES BY PENALTY OF AT LEAST ONE YEAR (NOTE DIFFERENCE FROM REQUIREMENT CONTAINED ARTICLE 2(2)(A)), IN ORDER TO ENSURE THAT THIS EXCEPTION TO POLITICAL OFFENSES EXCEPTION IS LIMITED TO REALLY SERIOUS OFFENSES. IN FACT, AFTER NEGOTIATING SESSION NOV 4, 1975, LANGUAGE PROPOSED BY GERMAN SIDE FOR ARTICLE 4(3)(A) HAD LANGUAGE IN SQUARE BRACKETS THAT OFFENSE BE PUNISHABLE BY A PENALTY OF AT LEAST ONE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

NNN

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 01 BONN 03795 02 OF 04 021900Z
ACTION L-03

INFO OCT-01 EUR-12 ISO-00 SCA-01 JUSE-00 CIAE-00 INR-07
NSAE-00 PPT-01 DEAE-00 SNM-02 DHA-02 /029 W
-----021951 117040 /44

R 021839Z MAR 77
FM AMEMBASSY BONN
TO SECSTATE WASHDC 6090
INFO USMISSION USBERLIN

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE SECTION 02 OF 04 BONN 03795

YEAR WHICH DID NOT, HOWEVER, SPECIFY WHETHER THIS WAS
TO BE SO UNDER LAW OF REQUESTING OR REQUESTED STATE,
OR BOTH. ON FEB 24 IT WAS TENTATIVELY AGREED THAT IT
WOULD BE BEST IF BEGINNING OF ARTICLE 4(3)(A) PROVIDED
AS FOLLOWS: "MURDER OR OTHER WILLFUL CRIME, PUNISHABLE
UNDER THE LAWS OF BOTH CONTRACTING PARTIES BY A
PENALTY OF AT LEAST ONE YEAR, AGAINST THE LIFE...ETC."
EMBOFF EXPRESSED UNCERTAINTY WHETHER PROVISION WOULD
BE MEANINGFUL AS UNDER FEDERAL AND STATE LAWS
MINIMUM SENTENCES ARE FREQUENTLY NOT PROVIDED, AND THIS
MIGHT BE TRUE FOR TYPE OF OFFENSES INVOLVED HERE IN
MOST US JURISDICTIONS.

7. ARTICLE 7: GERMAN SIDE ACCEPTED AS FINAL US
POSITION US INSISTENCE ON ARTICLE 7 AS WORDED. THEY
REQUESTED THAT FOR USE AS BACKGROUND IN EXPLAINING
EXERCISE OF "DISCRETION" IN ARTICLE 7(1) IN US
PRACTICE, EMBASSY PROVIDE BACKGROUND NON-PAPER CONCERN-
ING FEDERAL US LAW PROVISION ON EXTRADITION (18 USC
3184), ITS RESTRICTIVE INTERPRETATION BY SUPREME
COURT, AND CONSEQUENT REASON FOR EMPOWERING SECSTATE
BY TREATY PROVISION TO EXERCISE DISCRETION. EMBASSY
LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 02 BONN 03795 02 OF 04 021900Z

REQUESTS THAT IT BE PROVIDED WITH DRAFT OF THIS NON-PAPER, INCLUDING INFORMATION ON NUMBER OF TIMES, IF ANY, DISCRETION INVOKED BY SECSTATE TO DENY EXTRADITION OF US NATIONAL. IN ADDITION, THEY REQUESTED THAT THEY EVENTUALLY BE PROVIDED WITH LETTER OF SECSTATE TO PRESIDENT AND OF PRESIDENT TO SENATE SUBMITTING TREATY FOR ADVICE AND CONSENT TO RATIFICATION, AND PREPARED TESTIMONY BY STATE DEPARTMENT REP, WHICH, THEY HOPED, WOULD SHED LIGHT IN MANNER HELPFUL TO GERMAN FEDERAL GOVERNMENT ON INTENDED MANNER IN WHICH SECSTATE WOULD EXERCISE "DISCRETION" IN DECIDING ON EXTRADITION OF US NATIONALS TO FRG. THEY HOPED WITH THIS INFORMATION TO BE ABLE TO CONVINCE FRG PARLIAMENT OF PURPOSE OF DISCRETION PROVISION AND THAT IN ITS IMPLEMENTATION SECSTATE WOULD PROBABLY, IN ALL BUT UNUSUAL CASES, PERMIT EXTRADITION TO FRG OF US NATIONAL(WHOM US COULD NOT PROSECUTE IN VIEW OF TERRITORIAL LIMITS ON US CRIMINAL JURISDICTION BUT WHOM US WOULD HAVE NO INTEREST IN PROTECTING FROM PROSECUTION FOR EXTRADITABLE OFFENSES OF WHICH HE STANDS CHARGED). EMBOFF HAD EMPHASIZED THAT US WOULD BE UNABLE TO EXPLAIN TO DEVELOPING COUNTRIES WITH WHOM US MIGHT BE NEGOTIATING EXTRADITION TREATIES WHY US WAS WILLING LEGALLY TO BIND ITSELF TO EXTRADITE US NATIONALS TO FRG WHICH IS CONSTITUTIONALLY UNABLE TO EXTRADITE ITS NATIONALS, WHILE BEING UNWILLING TO COMMIT SELF TO EXTRADITE US NATIONALS TO COUNTRIES WHICH ARE LEGALLY WILLING AND ABLE TO EXTRADITE THEIR NATIONALS TO US (FOR EXAMPLE, PHILIPPINES).

8. ARTICLE 8(B): GERMAN SIDE WAS UNCONVINCED THAT THIS PROVISION, WHICH THEY WOULD STILL LIKE DELETED, REFLECTS GENERALLY DESIRABLE POLICY, AND REQUESTED THAT FOR REASONS GIVEN BELOW POSSIBILITY OF DELETION OF SUBPARAGRAPH (B) BE REVIEWED ONCE MORE. GERMAN SIDE EXPRESSED CONCERN THAT COUNTRIES LIKE ALGERIA LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 03 BONN 03795 02 OF 04 021900Z

OR LIBYA COULD ENGAGE IN LAUNDERING OPERATION BY TRYING PERSONS SUCH AS ABU DAOUD IN PRO FORMA MANNER IN ORDER TO MAKE THEM NON-EXTRADITABLE BY OPERATION OF PROVISIONS SUCH AS SUBPARAGRAPH (B). SECONDLY, GERMAN SIDE VIEWS EXTRADITION PROCEDURE AS TYPE OF JUDICIAL ASSISTANCE AND NOT AS CRIMINAL PROCEEDING. THUS, IT DOES NOT ACCEPT THAT REMOVAL OF SUBPARAGRAPH (B) AND CONSEQUENT EXTRADITABILITY OF PERSON NOT OTHERWISE EXTRADITABLE IN ITSELF INVOLVES DOUBLE-JEOPARDY OR BECOMING AN ACCESSORY TO, OR RESPONSIBLE

FOR EXPOSING PERSON TO, DOUBLE-JEOPARDY. THIRDLY, FRG BELIEVES THAT REMOVAL OF SUBPARAGRAPH (B) COULD FURNISH BASIS FOR FOREIGN STATE TO GRANT EXTRADITION OF GERMAN NATIONAL TO FRG FOR TRIAL WITH POSSIBILITY THAT PUNISHMENT FOR OFFENSE COULD BE SERVED BY HIM WITHIN FRG. THIS MECHANISM COULD PROVIDE U.S., AS REQUESTED STATE, WITH AN EXCUSE FOR RELEASING A PERSON IN EXTRADITION TO FRG WHO MIGHT, BY GERMAN STANDARDS, HAVE RECEIVED UNDULY HARSH SENTENCE IN THIRD STATE FOR WHAT, UNDER GERMAN LAW, MIGHT BE CONSIDERED A RELATIVELY MINOR OFFENSE OR ONE COMMITTED UNDER MITIGATING CIRCUMSTANCES.

GERMANS SPECIFICALLY MENTIONED IN THIS CONNECTION, AS EXAMPLE, GERMAN NATIONAL SENTENCED IN

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

NNN

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 01 BONN 03795 03 OF 04 021904Z
ACTION L-03

INFO OCT-01 EUR-12 ISO-00 SCA-01 JUSE-00 CIAE-00 INR-07
NSAE-00 PPT-01 DEAE-00 SNM-02 DHA-02 /029 W
-----021952 117115 /44

R 021839Z MAR 77
FM AMEMBASSY BONN
TO SECSTATE WASHDC 6091
INFO USMISSION USBERLIN

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE SECTION 03 OF 04 BONN 03795

TURKEY TO 30 YEARS IN PRISON FOR HAVING 3 GRAMS OF HASHISH IN HIS POCKET, AND ANOTHER GERMAN WHO WAS RECENTLY CONVICTED OF HAVING KILLED HIS FIANCEE AND HAS RECEIVED SENTENCE OF BANISHMENT FOR LIFE ON INDIAN OCEAN ISLAND. FRG DOMESTIC LEGISLATION DOES NOT CURRENTLY FURNISH AUTHORITY FOR FRG TO ENTER INTO ARRANGEMENT SUCH AS RECENT US/MEXICAN TREATY ON THE EXECUTION OF PENAL SENTENCES,

AS ALSO PROVIDED FOR IN
EUROPEAN CONVENTION ON THE INTERNATIONAL VALIDITY
OF CRIMINAL JUDGMENTS TO WHICH FRG IS NOT A PARTY.
HOWEVER, JUSTICE MINISTRY REPS' HUMANITARIAN AND
REHABILITATION INTERESTS ARE CONSIDERABLE AND
GENUINE.

9. ARTICLE 11: GERMAN SIDE SUGGESTED DELETION OF
WORD "ONLY" IN ORDER MORE CERTAINLY TO EFFECT PURPOSE
OF THIS PROVISION. RETENTION OF "ONLY" COULD FORCE
REQUESTED STATE TO DETERMINE WHETHER COMPLAINT OR
AUTHORIZATION NOT REQUIRED UNDER LAW OF REQUESTING
STATE.

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 02 BONN 03795 03 OF 04 021904Z

10. ARTICLE 18: HAS BECOME THE EXPEDITED EXTRADITION
ARTICLE WITH CONSEQUENT RENUMBERING OF ALL SUBSEQUENT
ARTICLES. EMBOFF INDICATED THAT "MANIFESTLY LEGALLY
INADMISSIBLE" WAS NOT LEGALLY MEANINGFUL TERM AND
SUGGESTED LANGUAGE CONTAINED PARA 20 OF REFTEL B.
GERMAN SIDE STATED THIS LANGUAGE DID NOT CONVEY SAME
MEANING AS DESIRABLE GERMAN TERM AND COULD BE INTER-
PRETED TO REQUIRE EXAMINATION WHETHER EXTRADITION IS
OR IS NOT PREVENTED BY REQUESTING STATE'S LAWS. THIS
MIGHT AMOUNT TO SOMETHING AS FULL EXTRADITION PROCE-
DURE. PURPOSE OF THIS PROVISION WAS TO PERMIT
EXPEDITED PROCEDURE IF PERSON SOUGHT, KNOWING CONSE-
QUENCES, AGREED THERETO AND PROVIDED EXTRADITION FOR
THAT OFFENSE WAS NOT OBVIOUSLY PRECLUDED BY LAWS OF
REQUESTED STATE. TENTATIVE AGREEMENT WAS REACHED ON
HAVING BEGINNING OF ARTICLE 18 READ AS FOLLOWS: "IF
THE EXTRADITION OF A PERSON SOUGHT TO THE REQUESTING
STATE IS NOT OBVIOUSLY PRECLUDED BY THE LAWS OF THE
REQUESTED STATE AND PROVIDED THE PERSON SOUGHT
IRREVOCABLY AGREES...ETC."

GERMAN SIDE FURTHER SUGGESTED THAT INSTEAD OF
READING "A COMPETENT JUDGE OR MAGISTRATE" THIS PART
OF ARTICLE 18 READ "A JUDGE OR COMPETENT MAGISTRATE".
EMBOFF TENTATIVELY AGREED, BASED ON FACT THAT 18 USC
3184 SPEAKS OF "ANY JUSTICE OR JUDGE OF THE US, OR
ANY MAGISTRATE AUTHORIZED TO DO SO BY A COURT OF THE
US, OR ANY JUDGE OF A COURT OF RECORD OF GENERAL
JURISDICTION OF ANY STATE", PURPOSE OF US SIDE HAVING
BEEN TO ENSURE THAT THE SAME KIND OF JUDICIAL OFFICIAL
AS IS AUTHORIZED TO HEAR AN EXTRADITION REQUEST HAVE
ADVISED PERSON SOUGHT OF HIS RIGHTS AND THE PROTECTION
HE WOULD LOSE. GERMAN SIDE INDICATED THAT ONLY A
JUDGE IS COMPETENT AND COULD DO THIS; THUS, IN FRG THE

GERMAN TERM "JUDGE OR COMPETENT OFFICIAL" WOULD MEAN
LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 03 BONN 03795 03 OF 04 021904Z

ONLY JUDGE AS NO OFFICIAL WOULD BE COMPETENT. EMBOFF
INDICATED WE WERE HAPPY WITH FORMULATION PROTECTING
PERSONS SOUGHT IN EXTRADITION FROM US, AND IT WAS
GERMAN MATTER FOR THEM TO PHRASE GERMAN TEXT TO COM-
PORT WITH PROTECTION THEY CONSIDERED ESSENTIAL FOR
PERSONS SOUGHT IN EXTRADITION FROM FRG. GERMAN SIDE
SUGGESTED TITLE OF ARTICLE 18 BE "SIMPLIFIED
EXTRADITION".

11. ARTICLE 25 (SURRENDER OF PROPERTY):
DELETIONS IN SUBPARAGRAPH (3) WERE AGREED. TEXT OF
PARA 2 WAS CHANGED, FOR THE PURPOSE OF CLARITY, TO
READ AS FOLLOWS: "SUBJECT TO THE CONDITIONS PROVIDED
IN PARA 1, THE ARTICLES MENTIONED THEREIN SHALL BE
SURRENDERED EVEN IF THE PERSON SOUGHT CANNOT BE
SURRENDERED OWING TO HIS DEATH OR ESCAPE." CHANGED
WORDING MAKES IT CLEAR THAT CONDITIONS OF PARA 1 APPLY
ALSO IN CIRCUMSTANCES OF PARA 2; MOREOVER, REPHRASING
OF LATTER PART OF THIS PROVISION MAKES IT CLEAR
THAT EXTRADITION MUST HAVE BEEN APPROVED AND ONLY THE
SURRENDER OF PERSON SOUGHT BECAME IMPOSSIBLE.

12. ARTICLE 33 (BERLIN CLAUSE): EMBOFF STATED THAT
US VIEWS ON APPLICATION OR REAPPLICATION IN BERLIN
OF FRG EXTRADITION TREATY WITH PROTECTING POWERS IN
BERLIN HAD NOT YET BEEN CLARIFIED. EMBOFF WAS THERE-

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

NNN

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 01 BONN 03795 04 OF 04 021906Z
ACTION L-03

INFO OCT-01 EUR-12 ISO-00 SCA-01 JUSE-00 CIAE-00 INR-07
NSAE-00 PPT-01 DEAE-00 SNM-02 DHA-02 /029 W
-----022012 117174 /44

R 021839Z MAR 77
FM AMEMBASSY BONN

TO SECSTATE WASHDC 6092
INFO USMISSION USBERLIN

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE SECTION 04 OF 04 BONN 03795

FORE NOT PRESENTLY IN A POSITION TO GIVE FINAL US
VIEWS ON APPROPRIATE BERLIN CLAUSE FOR THIS AGREEMENT.
GERMAN SIDE MADE ITS EXPECTATIONS KNOWN THAT THERE
WOULD BE NO DEPARTURE IN CASE OF THIS TREATY FROM
ROUTINE APPLICATION OF EXTRADITION TREATIES AND
NORMAL BERLIN CLAUSE. ONCE US MISSION BERLIN HAS
REACTED TO QUESTIONS RAISED IN PAGES 19-21 OF REF
AIRGRAM AND TO REFTEL C, EMBASSY HOPES, AFTER DEPT
INPUT, TO REACH FINAL AGREEMENT ON ARTICLE 33.

13. ARTICLE 34 (RATIFICATION, ETC.): GERMAN SIDE
DESCRIBED LANGUAGE OF BONN'S 7148 OF MAY 18, 1973
(REFERRED TO IN PARA 19 OF REFTEL B) AS THEIR OWN
PREFERENCE. THUS, ARTICLE 34(3) WILL READ: "BETWEEN
THE CONTRACTING PARTIES THIS TREATY SHALL TERMINATE
AND REPLACE THE EXTRADITION TREATY BETWEEN THE
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA AND GERMANY SIGNED AT BERLIN
JULY 12, 1930."

14. APPENDIX--OFFENSE 33: PFUND REITERATED UNDER-
STANDING SUMMARIZED PAGES 23 AND 24 OF REFERENCED
AIRGRAM. EMBOFF BELIEVES IT SHOULD BE CLEAR THAT
LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 02 BONN 03795 04 OF 04 021906Z

THIS PROVISION WILL BE OPERATIVE TO ADD OFFENSE TO
LISTED OFFENSES ONLY IF US FEDERAL EXTRADITION LAW,
OR US IMPLEMENTING LEGISLATION FOR INTERNATIONAL
CONVENTION OR TREATY IMPOSING NEW EXTRADITION OBLIGA-
TION, EXPRESSLY MAKES EXTRADITABLE A NEW OFFENSE NOT
LISTED IN APPENDIX AND PROVIDED THAT OFFENSE IS ALSO
EXTRADITABLE UNDER FRG LAW.

15. ACTION REQUESTED: EMBASSY WOULD APPRECIATE
GUIDANCE ON OUTSTANDING QUESTIONS SUMMARIZED ABOVE AND
DRAFT LANGUAGE REQUESTED IN PARAS 6 AND 7 ONCE DEPT
HAS RECEIVED EMBASSY'S AIRGRAM CONTAINING OTHERWISE
AGREED TEXT OF NEW TREATY AND HAS CHECKED SAME TO
ENSURE THAT WORKING TEXT OF BOTH EMBASSY
AND DEPARTMENT ARE IDENTICAL.
CASH

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X
Capture Date: 01-Jan-1994 12:00:00 am
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: EXTRADITION, TEXT, EXTRADITION AGREEMENTS, NEGOTIATIONS
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Sent Date: 02-Mar-1977 12:00:00 am
Decaption Date: 01-Jan-1960 12:00:00 am
Decaption Note:
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 22 May 2009
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1977BONN03795
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: n/a
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: N/A
Errors: N/A
Expiration:
Film Number: D770072-0235
Format: TEL
From: BONN
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1977/newtext/t197703102/aaaadmjv.tel
Line Count: 438
Litigation Code IDs:
Litigation Codes:
Litigation History:
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM
Message ID: 005288ac-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc
Office: ACTION L
Original Classification: LIMITED OFFICIAL USE
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 8
Previous Channel Indicators: n/a
Previous Classification: LIMITED OFFICIAL USE
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: 77 BONN A-443, 77 STATE 29622, 77 STATE 29621
Retention: 0
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 04-Feb-2005 12:00:00 am
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review Media Identifier:
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
SAS ID: 2944344
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: US-FRG EXTRADITION TREATY
TAGS: PFOR, GE, US
To: STATE
Type: TE
vdkgvwkey: odb://SAS/SAS.dbo.SAS_Docs/005288ac-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc
Review Markings:
Margaret P. Grafeld
Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
22 May 2009
Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 22 May 2009